

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
9

10 JOHN CROWLEY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DANIEL SUSSMAN, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-CV-00150-KJD-VCF

15
16 **ORDER**

17 The present action was remanded by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals with instructions on
18 October 30, 2013. The Court's Order on Mandate (#45) was entered on January 7, 2014. On
19 January 24, 2014, *pro se* Plaintiff filed an Appeal (#46) of the magistrate judge's order (#44) entered
January 6, 2014, denying Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel.

20 There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel in a § 1983 action. *Storseth v. Spellman*,
21 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir.1981). However, in "exceptional circumstances," a district court may
22 appoint counsel for indigent civil litigants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). *Aldabe v. Aldabe*, 616
23 F.2d 1089, 1093 (9th Cir.1980). To decide whether these exceptional circumstances exist, a district
24 court must evaluate both " 'the likelihood of success on the merits [and] the ability of the petitioner to
25 articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved.' " *Wilborn v.*
26 *Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (quoting *Weygandt v. Look*, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir.1983)); *see*

1 *also Rand v. Rowland*, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) withdrawn in part on reh'g en banc, 154
2 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998). While it is true that Plaintiff successfully “participated” in an appeal in this
3 action to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, he had appointed *pro bono* counsel in that effort. The
4 Court finds that Plaintiff has shown exceptional circumstances warranting appointment of counsel due
5 to the likelihood of success on the merits and the serious mental health history of Plaintiff which likely
6 would interfere with his ability to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal
7 issues involved.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's Appeal (#46);
9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court officially re-open this action;
10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the CJA Clerk appoint counsel to represent Plaintiff.
11 DATED this 8th day of May 2014.

12
13
14 
15 Kent J. Dawson
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26